

**The mistakes of the fool are known to the world, but not to himself** (Charles Caleb Colton )

My parents used to say "amale habak haban midele bahak bahan" loosely translated "The older you grow the more you become matured." Well, it's clear that maturity is not determined by one's age. But also, that doesn't mean my parents' theory of maturity is wrong. Does it? No, I don't think that they are failed on their theory but there are always exceptions with everything.

B.W. Roberts (Psychologist) explains maturity as follows: "one's personality must be viewed from both the perspective of the actor and the perspective of the observer. Therefore, one's maturity is not measured solely on how he views himself, but by how others view him as well. By this definition, how an individual feels about himself is no more legitimate than how others feel about him, and so it is important that this individual gains a certain level of maturity as he grows older to earn the respect of others.

Maturity is something of personal character, or how one acts in stressful or difficult situations, because then a person's true ability to react to a situation can be seen. Fake social interactions are often misjudged as many people rely on outward appearance to mask inner strengths/weaknesses so as to present a simpler version of oneself to the world.

Additional ways to judge if a person is mature include *rational thinking and logical explanation in solving a problem, and the art of reasoning while debating.*"

I think maturity comes from learning from experiences. Some people go on acting immaturely in spite of advanced years, because they refuse to learn their lessons. On the other hand there are some who gain from others' examples as well.

Now, my primarily point is not about maturity, but also interconnected with it. I read an article under the title **"RECRUITMENT OF UNDERAGE CHILDREN IN WAR IS AGAINST HUMANITY AND A VIOLATION OF INTERNATIONAL LAW."** both on Aiga forum and irrob.org nearly 2 years ago. In the beginning I couldn't believe what I was reading because the author of the paper was someone I know well and who used to be my teacher during my school years in Alitena secondary school. Of course, my disbelieve was not because he was someone I know or someone who was used to be my teacher, but the person I knew was not someone I expected to write something like that. Nevertheless, I prefer not to get in to details of that paper. In truth, nothing left for me to say (as Bahlibi is chewing Modosha's and Girmay T/giorgis' leftover) so I prefer not to spoil my time. But my point here is that of Bahlibi Zemariam who writes about the above mentioned paper intensively and unceasingly.

Bahlibi took his (HY's) paper too serious while no one takes this person and his paper serious anymore. I don't think that there was someone who took it (HY's paper) serious right from the start with exceptions of the above mentioned two or three persons. Personally, I didn't, I still don't and I will never until someone comes up with the grounds for the claim. I've spoken to quite a lot Irobs regarding that paper and I didn't come across a single person who takes it serious except Bahlibi, Mo and GT. Also, it seems that HY likes that Bahlibi takes him serious though he's his critic. At least he got someone who gives him attention. From my point of view,

he's neither someone who learns from his own fault nor from other's and that's why I quoted Charles Caleb "*the mistakes of the fool are known to the world, but not to himself*". Ye, his mistakes are not known to H.

Apropos, does maturity determined my one's age? If its answer was yes, then Mr. H should be matured enough by now. Does maturity determined by one's experience? Maybe the answer is yes with few exceptions. I don't think that Mr. H lacks experience but for sure he lacks something-something which makes him not to think *rationally and logically*.

Even though I had similar doubts, it was when HY posted few comments on FB group that I came to the conclusion of my doubts that he was targeting only a very few individuals.

I quote a line from him where he's confessed himself "*I know more about who I am targeting and I plan to target. I feel so sorry for some innocent people who have been cleverly manipulated to be included knowing nothing originallly and now seeing no wicket to slide by*". But why did he accuse whole Irob Diaspora for recruiting Irob underage children in war? Is his excuse that "*kafinhadah andabal winhada dagita*"? I still don't know which one is "*Kafinhada*". Unfortunately, this clearly shows that my former teacher lacks art of reasoning while debating.

Fugi titalem naboy!

B.N